## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

NORTHERN District of MISSISSIPPI

	United States of America )				
	v. ) ) Case No. 1:12CR002-M-S				
	RYAN DEANDRE HEARN )				
	Defendant )				
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL				
require	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts that the defendant be detained pending trial.				
	Part I—Findings of Fact				
□ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted				
	of $\square$ a federal offense $\square$ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal				
	jurisdiction had existed - that is				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.				
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in				
	.*				
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:				
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:				
	☐ a minor victim				
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon				
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250				
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.				
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the  date of conviction  the defendant's release				
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).				
□ (4)					
	Alternative Findings (A)				
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense				
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in .				

case. 1.12-ci-00002-MPM-DAS DUC #. 42 Fileu. 09/00/12 2 01 4 PageiD #. 70

.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

NORTHERN District of MISSISSIPPI

	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
<b>X</b> (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
,	admits that he
convinc term Conth rufor trial bor enge	Part II—Statement of the Reasons for Detention  I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by a clear and sing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that chemical has violated the soft his pretrial helease hy testing forsitive for the use of the pretrial helease hy testing to help the succounters with law solding to help the heart was on the law in the present the his PT's officers. In addition, he is present expanditually lone a DVI charge, and may officer alleged officers, and has not made and on those charges. In addition, vsts has been informed that he has and in acts of violence.
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention
pending order of	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement rections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility liver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.
Date:	Grotemby 1200 Sam Copyal De

S. Allan Alexander, U. S. Magistrate Judge

Judge's Signature

Name and Title